Paper:

Implementing Discrete-time Fractional-order Controllers

J. A. Tenreiro Machado

Dept. of Electrotechnical Engineering, Institute of Engineering of Porto
Rua Dr. Antonio Bernardino de Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal
E-mail: jtm@dee.isep.ipp.pt

[Received September 6, 2001; accepted September 30, 2001]

The theory of fractional calculus goes back to the beginning of the theory of differential calculus but its inherent complexity postponed the application of the associated concepts. In the last decade the progress in the areas of chaos and fractals revealed subtle relationships with the fractional calculus leading to an increasing interest in the development of the new paradigm. In the area of automatic control preliminary work has already been carried out but the proposed algorithms are restricted to the frequency domain. The paper discusses the design of fractional-order discrete-time controllers. The algorithms studied adopt the time domain, which makes them suited for *z*-transform analysis and discrete-time implementation.

Keywords: fractional calculus, control theory, discrete-time controllers

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is a natural extension of the classical mathematics. In fact, since the beginning of the theory of differential and integral calculus, mathematicians such as Euler and Liouville investigated their ideas on the calculation of non-integer order derivatives and integrals. Nevertheless, in spite of the work that has been done in the area, the application of fractional derivatives and integrals (*FDI*s) has been scarce until recently. In the last years, the advances in the theory of chaos revealed profound relations with *FDI*s, motivating a renewed interest in this field. The basic aspects of the fractional calculus theory and the study of its properties can be addressed in references1-5) while research results can be found in 6-13). In what concerns the application of *FDI* concepts we can mention a large volume of research about viscoelasticity/damping14-32) and chaos/fractals.33-36) However, other scientific areas are currently paying attention to the new concepts and we can refer the adoption of *FDI*s in biology,37) electronics,38) signal processing,39-41) system identification,42) diffusion and wave propagation,43-46) percolation,47), modeling and identification,48-49) chemistry,50) irreversibility 51) and others. Inspired by the fractional calculus several researchers on automatic control proposed algorithms based on the frequency52-53) and the discrete-time54-56) domains. This work is still giving its first steps and, consequently, many aspects remain to be investigated. This paper analyses several approaches to implement *FDI*s in discrete-time control systems and, in this line of thought, the paper is organized as follows. Section two starts by introducing the main mathematical aspects concerning the fractional calculus. Section three studies several algorithms for the real-time calculation of *FDI*s. Based on the proposed *FDI* approximations, sec-

Table 1. *FDI* Definitions.

Liouville	$\left(I_c^\alpha \varphi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{\varphi(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, -\infty < x < +\infty$
	$\left(D_c^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha}} dt, -\infty < x < +\infty$
Riemann- Liouville	$\left(I_{a+}^\alpha \varphi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{\varphi(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt, a < x$
	$\left(D_{a+}^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{\alpha}} dt, a < x$
Hadamard	$\left(I_{+}^\alpha \varphi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t[ln(t/x)]^{1-\alpha}} dt, x > 0, a > 0$
	$\left(D_{a+}^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{f(x)-f(t)}{t[ln(x/t)]^{1+\alpha}} dt$
Grünwald-Letnikov	$\left(I_{a+}^\alpha \varphi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lim_{h \to +0} \left[h^\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{[(x-a)/h]} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+j)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \varphi(x-jh) \right]$
Chen	$\left(I_c^\alpha \varphi\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{c}^{x} \varphi(t)(x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt, x > c$
	$\left(D_c^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{c}^{x} f(t)(x-t)^{-\alpha} dt, x > c$
Marchaud	$\left(D_{+}^\alpha f\right)(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{f(x)-f(t)}{(x-t)^{1+\alpha}} dt$
Fourier	$F\left\{I_{\pm}^\alpha \varphi\right\} = F\{\varphi\}/(\pm j\omega)^\alpha, 0 < Re(\alpha) < 1$
	$F\left\{D_{\pm}^\alpha \varphi\right\} = (\pm j\omega)^\alpha F\{\varphi\}, Re(\alpha) \geq 0$
Laplace	$L\left\{I_{0+}^\alpha \varphi\right\} = L\{\varphi\}/s^\alpha, Re(\alpha) > 0$
	$L\left\{D_{0+}^\alpha \varphi\right\} = s^\alpha L\{\varphi\}, Re(\alpha) \geq 0$

Table 2. *FDI*s of several functions.

$\varphi(x),\ x \in \Re$	$\left(I_{+}^{\alpha}\varphi\right)(x),\ x \in \Re,\ \alpha \in C$
$(x-a)^{\beta-1}$	$\dfrac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}(x-a)^{\alpha+\beta-1},\ Re(\beta)>0$
$e^{\lambda x}$	$\lambda^{-\alpha}e^{\lambda x},\ Re(\lambda)>0$
$\begin{cases} sin(\lambda x) \\ cos(\lambda x) \end{cases}$	$\lambda^{-\alpha}\begin{cases} sin(\lambda x-\alpha\pi/2) \\ cos(\lambda x-\alpha\pi/2) \end{cases},\ \lambda>0, Re(\alpha)>1$
$e^{\lambda x}\begin{cases} sin(\gamma x) \\ cos(\gamma x) \end{cases}$	$\dfrac{e^{\lambda x}}{\left(\lambda^2+\gamma^2\right)^{\alpha/2}}\begin{cases} sin(\gamma x-\alpha\phi) \\ cos(\gamma x,-\alpha\phi) \end{cases}\ \begin{matrix} \phi = arctan(\gamma/\lambda) \\ \gamma>0, Re(\lambda)>1 \end{matrix}$



Fig. 1. Block diagram for an elemental feedback control system of fractional order α.

tion four investigates the performance of control systems from a stability and robustness point of view. Finally, section five draws the main conclusions.

2. Formulation of Fractional Derivatives

Since the foundation of the differential calculus the generalization of the concept of derivative and integral to a non-integer order α has been the subject of several approaches. Due to this reason there are various definitions of *FDI*s (**Table 1**) which are proved to be equivalent.

Based on the proposed definitions it is possible to calculate the *FDI*s of several functions (**Table 2**) but, from a control perspective, some definitions seem more attractive when thinking in a real-time calculation. The problem of devising and implementing fractional-order algorithms will be the matter of the next sections.



Fig. 2. Open-loop Bode diagrams of amplitude and phase for a system of fractional order $1 < \alpha < 2$.



Fig. 3. Root locus for a control system of fractional order $1 < \alpha < 2$.

3. Fractional-order Discrete-time Control Algorithms

The Laplace/Fourier definition (**Table 1**) for a derivative of order $\alpha \in C$ is a 'direct' generalization of the classical integer-order scheme with the multiplication of the signal transform by the $s/j\omega$ operator. In what concerns automatic control theory this means that frequency-based analysis methods have a straightforward adaptation to *FDI*s.

Consider the elemental control system represented in **Fig.1** (with $1 < \alpha < 2$) with transfer function $G(s) = Ks^{-\alpha}$ in the forward path. The open-loop Bode diagrams (**Fig.2**) of amplitude and phase have a slope of -20α *dB/dec* and a constant phase of $-\alpha\pi/2$ *rad*, respectively. Therefore, the closed-loop system has a constant phase margin[52] of $\pi(1 - \alpha/2)$ *rad*, that is independent of the system gain K.

Likewise, this important property is also revealed through the root-locus depicted in **Fig.3**. For example, when $1 < \alpha < 2$ the root-locus follows the relation $\pi - \pi/\alpha = \cos^{-1}\zeta$, where ζ is the damping ratio, independently of the system gain K. The implementation of *FDI*s based on the Laplace/Fourier definition adopts the frequency domain and requires an infinite number of poles and zeros obeying a recursive relationship[52]. Nevertheless, this approach has several drawbacks. In a real approximation the finite number of poles and zeros yields a ripple in the frequency response and a limited bandwidth. Moreover, the digital conversion of the scheme requires further steps and additional approximations making difficult to analyze the final algorithm. The method is restricted to cases where a frequency response is well known and, in other circumstances, problems occur for its implementation. Based on the concept of fractional differential of order α, the Grünwald-Letnikov definition (see **Table 1**) of a derivative of fractional order α of the signal $x(t)$, $D^\alpha[x(t)]$, leads to the expression:

$$D^\alpha[x(t)] = \lim_{h\to 0}\left[\frac{1}{h^\alpha}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}x(t-kh)\right] \quad \ldots\ldots (1)$$

where Γ is the gamma function and h is the time increment. This formulation[54] inspired a discrete-time *FDI* calculation algorithm, based on the approximation of the time increment h through the sampling period T, yielding the equation in the z domain:

$$Z\{D^\alpha[x(t)]\} \approx \left[\frac{1}{T^\alpha}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{k!\,\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}z^{-k}\right]X(z) = \left(\frac{1-z^{-1}}{T}\right)^\alpha X(z) \quad \ldots\ldots (2)$$

where $X(z) = Z\{x(t)\}$.
A real implementation of Eq.(2) corresponds to a n-term truncated series given by:

$$Z\{D^\alpha[x(t)]\} \approx \left[\frac{1}{T^\alpha}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{k!\,\Gamma(\alpha-k+1)}z^{-k}\right]X(z) \ldots\ldots (3)$$

Nevertheless, the properties of this and other approaches must be further studied and, bearing these facts in mind, in the sequel we analyze several discrete-time approximations to *FDI*s. We start by considering the well-known $s \rightarrow z$ conversion schemes (also called analog to digital open-loop design methods) of Grünwald-Letnikov, Tustin (or bilinear) and Simpson. Note that the Grunwald-Letnikov approach (2) is similar to the Euler or first backward difference scheme. In our study we shall adopt for D^α expressions that are the generalization to non-integer exponents of these conversion methods as represented in **Table 3**.

The fractional-order conversion schemes lead to non-rational z-formulae. Therefore, in order to get rational expressions we expand them into Taylor series and the final algorithm corresponds to a n-term truncated series.

Table 3. Discrete-time conversion schemes.

Method	$s \rightarrow z$ conversion	Taylor series
Grünwald-Letnikov	$s^\alpha \approx \left[\frac{1}{T}\left(1-z^{-1}\right)\right]^\alpha$	$\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)^\alpha\left[1-\alpha z^{-1}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2!}z^{-2}\ldots\right]$
Tustin	$s^\alpha \approx \left(\frac{2}{T}\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}\right)^\alpha$	$\left(\frac{2}{T}\right)^\alpha\left[1-2\alpha z^{-1}+2\alpha^2 z^{-2}\ldots\right]$
Simpson	$s^\alpha \approx \left[\frac{3}{T}\frac{\left(1+z^{-1}\right)\left(1-z^{-1}\right)}{1+4z^{-1}+z^{-2}}\right]^\alpha$	$\left(\frac{3}{T}\right)^\alpha\left[1-4\alpha z^{-1}+2\alpha(4\alpha+3)z^{-2}\ldots\right]$



Fig. 4. Amplitude of the Taylor series coefficients *versus* the term order when approximating the $D^{1/2}$ with the Grunwald-Letnikov, Tustin and Simpson algorithms.

These three approximations and the corresponding Taylor truncated series have distinct properties that must be analyzed before a control system implementation. For example, the log-log chart of **Fig.4** shows the amplitude absolute values of the Taylor series coefficients *versus* the term order when approximating the $\alpha = 1/2$ derivative, for the Grunwald-Letnikov, Tustin and Simpson, schemes:

$$Z[D^{1/2}[x(t)]] \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{T}}(1-\frac{1}{2}z^{-1}\frac{-1}{8}z^{-2}-\frac{1}{16}z^{-3}-\cdots)X(z) \quad .\,.\ (4a)$$

$$Z\{D^{1/2}[x(t)]\} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}}(1-z^{-1})(1+\frac{1}{2}z^{-1}+\frac{3}{8}z^{-2}+\cdots)X(z) \quad .\,.\ (4b)$$

$$Z\{D^{1/2}[x(t)]\} \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{T}}(1-2z^{-1}+5z^{-2}-16z^{-3}+\cdots)X(z) \quad .\,.\ (4c)$$

For simplicity, in the chart the gains of the approximations are not represented. Analyzing the results we conclude that:

- While an integer-order derivative implies simply a finite series, the fractional-order derivative requires an infinite number of terms. This means that integer derivatives are 'local' operators in opposition with fractional derivatives that have, implicitly, a 'memory' of all past events.
- The 'memory' property of the fractional derivatives



Fig. 5. Root-locus for system[9] with $T_D = 0$ under the control of a infinite series $D^{1/2}$ algorithm based on the approach of a) Grunwald-Letnikov b) Tustin c) Simpson.



Fig. 6. Root-locus for system[9] with $T_D = 0$ under the control of a *5th*-order series approximation of $D^{1/2}$ based on the approach of a) Grunwald-Letnikov b) Tustin c) Simpson.

is highlighted when we study the magnitude of the series coefficients. For comparison purposes in **Fig. 4** it is also plotted the coefficients of a geometric series having the three initial terms similar to those of the Tustin series, that is:

$$1 - z^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-2} - \frac{1}{4}z^{-3} + \frac{1}{8}z^{-4} - \cdots = \frac{2 - z^{-1}}{2 + z^{-1}} \qquad \ldots\ldots (5)$$

The term coefficients of the geometric series decay rapidly while those of the Tustin approximation for the fractional-order derivative have a constant diminishing. Therefore, *FDI*s have a kind of logarithmic-time memory that gives a higher importance to past events.

- The Tustin and Simpson approximations $D^{1/2}$ seem problematic. In the first case, the coefficients decay with the term order but they appear in pairs of similar magnitude. Therefore, a series truncation of even or odd order will reveal distinct characteristics and, consequently, poor convergence properties. The Simpson approach requires a series with increasing coefficients showing, clearly, convergence problems.

The alternative of the *FDI* 'direct' implementation in the *z*-domain (the so-called discrete-time system design method) leads to poor results. For an open-loop system with transfer function $G(s)$, a first-order sample/hold and a D^α $(0 < \alpha < 1)$ controller, we get:

$$Z\{D^\alpha[x(t)]\} = \frac{Z[s^\alpha G(s)]}{Z\left[\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s} G(s)\right]} X(z) \qquad \ldots\ldots (6)$$

For example, with $G(s) = 1/s^2$ it yields:

$$Z\{D^\alpha[x(t)]\} = \frac{Z\left(\frac{1}{s^{2-\alpha}}\right)}{Z\left(\frac{1 - e^{-sT}}{s}\frac{1}{s^2}\right)} X(z)$$
$$= \left[\frac{2}{T^{1+\alpha}\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \frac{(1 - z^{-1})^2}{1 + z^{-1}} \left(1 + 2^{1-\alpha} z^{-1} + \cdots\right)\right] X(z) \qquad \ldots\ldots (7)$$

Adopting $\alpha = 1/2$ in [7], for comparison purposes, the Taylor series expansion results:

$$Z\{D^{1/2}[x(t)]\} \approx \frac{4}{T^{3/2}\sqrt{x}}[1 - (3 - \sqrt{2})z^{-1} + (4 + \sqrt{3} - 3\sqrt{2})z^{-2}$$

$$-(3\sqrt{3}+2-4\sqrt{2})z^{-2}+\cdots]X(z) \quad . . . \quad (8)$$

The series coefficients diminish very slowly showing convergence problems that were confirmed in the z-domain root-locus. Moreover, for a different transfer function $G(s)$ we need to recalculate the expressions in Eq.(7,8). Therefore, this method will not be considered in the next section, where the properties of **Table 3** formulae will be further analyzed from a control system perspective.

4. Performance of *FDI* Approximations in Control Systems

A mass with a time delay may be considered as a simple prototype system. Therefore, in order to study the performance of the *FDI* approximations in control algorithms we adopt a system with transfer function (where T_D is the time delay):

$$G(s)=\frac{e^{-sT_D}}{s^2} \quad \text{.............................} \quad (9)$$

An important property to be tested in the *FDI* approximations for control consists in the stability of the resulting closed-loop system. **Fig.5** shows the root-locus, in the z domain, for the three *FDI* schemes when implementing a $D^{1/2}$ controller, without any series truncation, for the case of $T_D = 0$ in Eq.(9). For an infinite series the Grunwald-Letnikov algorithm seems inferior while the Simpson method looks preferable. However, for a *5th* order series truncation we get the results of **Fig.6.** As pointed out in the previous section, the Grunwald-Letnikov algorithm is 'robust' in what concerns the series truncation while the root-locus reveals increasing stability problems when passing to the Tustin and Simpson schemes. In fact, this conclusion can be confirmed tacking other values of α in the control algorithm and analyzing both the root-locus and the time responses.

A second aspect to be tested from the control viewpoint is the controller performance when confronted with system parameter deviations. Therefore, in **Fig.7** we compare the system time response with a Grunwald-Letnikov-based $D^{1/2}$ control algorithm for time delays of $T_D = 0$ *sec* and $T_D = 0.1$ *sec*. The sampling period is $T = 0.1$ *sec* and the controller gain is $K = 10\ T^{1/2}$. Moreover, in order to analyze the response for distinct series truncation orders, **Fig.7** depicts the system response for $n = 3$, 4 and 5.

Clearly, the higher the order of the series truncation the better the system performance and the closer the system response with and without time delay in the loop. It should be pointed out that the adoption of a $D^{1/2}$ controller is just for comparison purposes and, in fact, the development of systematic design procedures for *FDI*-based algorithms is currently under investigation.



Fig. 7. Time response for system [9)] with $T_D = 0$ and $T_D = 0.1$, under the control of a Grunwald-Letnikov-based approximation of $D^{1/2}$ with truncation orders of $n = 3$, 4 and 5.

5. Conclusions

The recent progress in the area of chaos reveals promising aspects for future developments and application of the theory of fractional calculus. In the area of automatic control some preliminary work has been proposed but the algorithms are restricted to the frequency domain. In this paper several methods for the discrete-time *FDI* approximation were presented and compared. The new algorithms adopt the time domain, making them well adapted for z-transform analysis and computer calculation. The properties of the Grunwald-Letnikov, Tustin and Simpson schemes are studied in terms of robustness and system stability, revealing that the first approach is preferable. For a simple prototype system the control algorithms based on the fractional-order concepts are simple to implement and reveal good robustness.

References:

1) A. Gemant, "On Fractional Differentials," Philosophical Magazine, 25, 540-549, 1938.
2) K. B. Oldham and J. Spanier, "The Fractional Calculus: Theory and Application of Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order," Academic Press, 1974.
3) B. Ross, "Fractional Calculus," Mathematics Magazine, 50-3, 15-122, 1977.
4) S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, "Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications," Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1993.
5) K. S. Miller and B. Ross, "An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations," John Wiley and Sons, 1993.
6) T. J. Osler, "Leibniz Rule for Fractional Derivatives Generalized and its Application to Infinite Series," SIAM Journal of Applied Math., 18-3, 658-674, 1970.
7) T. Osler, "Taylor's Series Generalized for Fractional Derivatives and Applications," SIAM Journal of Math. Analysis, 2-1, 37-48, 1971.
8) B. Ross (ed.), "Fractional Calculus and Its Applications," Lecture Notes in Mathematics 457, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
9) K. Nishimoto, "Fractional Calculus (Volume IV): Integrations and Differentiations of Arbitrary Order," Descartes Press, Japan, 1991.
10) L. C. Campos, "Application of Fractional Calculus to the Generation of Special Functions with Complex Parameters," Journal of Frac -

tional Calculus, 4, 61-76, 1993.

11) L. C. Campos, "Fractional Calculus of Analytic and Branched Functions," Recent Advances in Fractional Calculus, R. N. Kalia (ed.), Global Pub. Company, 66-43, 1993.

12) S. G. Samko and B. Ross, "Integration and Differentiation to a Variable Fractional Order," Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 1-4, 277-300, 1993.

13) S. G. Samko, "Fractional Integration and Differentiation of Variable Order," Analysis Mathematica, 21, 213-236, 1995.

14) A. Gemant, "A Method of Analyzing Experimental Results Obtained from Elasto-Viscous Bodies," Physics, 7, 311-317, 1936.

15) M. Stiassnie, "On the Application of Fractional Calculus for the Formulation of Viscoelastic Models," Applied Math. Modelling, 3, 300-302, 1979.

16) R. L. Bagley and P. J. Torvik, "Fractional Calculus-A Different Approach to the Analysis of Viscoelastically Damped Structures," AIAA Journal, 21-5, 741-748, 1983.

17) L. Rogers, "Operators and Fractional Derivatves for Viscoelastic Constitutive Equations," Journal of Rheology, 27-4, 351-372, 1983.

18) R. C. Koeller, "Applications of Fractional Calculus to the Theory of Viscoelasticity," ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics, 51, 299-307, 1984.

19) P. J. Torvik and R. L. Bagley, "On the Appearance of the Fractional Derivative in the Behaviour of Real Materials," ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics, 51, 294-298, 1984.

20) R. L. Bagley and P. J. Torvik, "On the Fractional Calculus Model of Viscoelastic Behaviour," Journal of Rheology, 30-1, 133-155, 1986.

21) R. C. Koeller, "Polynomial Operators, Stieltjes Convolution, and Fractional Calculus in Hereditary Mechanics," Acta Mechanica, 58, 251-264, 1986.

22) J. Padovan, "Computational Algorithms for FE Formulations Involving Fractional Operators," Computational Mechanics, 2-4, 271-287, 1987.

23) C. G. Koh and J. M. Kelly, "Application of Fractional Derivatives to Seismic Analysis of Base-isolated Models," Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 19, 229-241, 1990.

24) R. L. Bagley and R. A. Calico, "Fractional Order State Equations for the Control of Viscoelastically Damped Structures," ASME Journal of Guidance, 14-2, 304-311, 1991.

25) N. Makris and M. C. Constantinou, "Fractional-Derivative Maxwell Model for Viscous Dampers," Journal of Structural Engineering, 117-9, 2708-2724, 1991.

26) N. Makris, M. C. Constantinou and G. F. Dargush, "Analytical Model of Viscoelastic Fluid Dampers," Journal of Structural Engineering, 119-11, 3310-3325, 1993.

27) L. Gaul and C. M. Chen, "Modeling of Viscoelastic Elastomer Mounts in Multibody Systems," Advanced Multibody System Dynamics, W. Schiehlen (ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 257-276, 1993.

28) M. C. Constantinou and M. D. Symans, "Experimental Study of Seismic Response of Buildings with Supplemental Fluid Dampers," The Structural Design of Tall Buildings, 2, 93-132, 1993.

29) L. Gaul and M. Schanz, "Dynamics of Viscoelastic Solids Treated by Boundary Element Approaches in Time Domain, European Journal of Mechanics, A/Solids, 13-4, 43-59, 1994.

30) N. Makris, G. F. Dargush and M. C. Constantinou, "Dynamic Analysis of Viscoelastic-Fluid Dampers," Journal of Engineering Mechanics, 121-10, 1114-1121, 1995.

31) A. Fenander, "Modal Synthesis when Modeling Damping by Use of Fractional Derivatives," AIAA Journal, 34-5, 1051-1058, 1996.

32) B. S. Liebst and P. J. Torvik, "Asymptotic Approximations for Systems Incorporating Fractional Derivative Damping," ASME Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control, 118, 572-579, 1996.

33) J. P. Clerc, A. M. Tremblay, G. Albinet, C. Mitescu, "A. C. Response of Fractal Networks," Le Journal de Physique-Lettres, 45-19, 913-924, 1984.

34) S. H. Liu, "Fractal Model for the ac Response of a Rough Interface," Physical Review Letters, 55-5, 529-532, 1985.

35) T. Kaplan, L. J. Gray and S. H. Liu, "Self-Affine Fractal Model for a Metal-Electrolyte Interface," Physical Review B, 35-10, 5379-5381, 1987.

36) A. Le Mehaute, "Fractal Geometries: Theory and Applications," Penton Press, 1991.

37) T. J. Anastasio, "The Fractional-Order Dynamics of Brainstem Vestibulo-oculomotor Neurons," Biological Cybernetics, 72, 69-79, 1994.

38) A. Oustaloup, "Fractional Order Sinusoidal Oscillators: Optimization and Their Use in Highly Linear FM Modulation," IEEE Trans. Circ., Syst., 28-10, 1007-1009, 1981.

39) J. R. M. Hosking, "Fractional Differencing," Biometrika, 68-1, 165-176, 1981.

40) H. M. Ozaktas, O. Arikan, M. A. Kutay and G. Bozdagi, "Digital Computation of the Fractional Fourier Transform," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 44-9, 2141-2150, 1996.

41) M. D. Ortigueira, "Fractional Discrete-Time Linear Systems," Proc. of the ICASSP'97-IEEE International Conference On Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, Munich, Germany, 1997.

42) D. Dubois, J.-P. Brienne, L. Pony and H. Baussart, "Study of a System Described by An Implicit Derivative Transmittance of Non Integer Order With or Without Delay Time," Proc. of the IEEE-SMC/IMACS Symposium on Control, Optimization and Supervision, Lille, France, 826-830, 1996.

43) R. R. Nigmatullin, "The Realization of the Generalized Transfer Equation in a Medium with Fractal Geometry," Phys. stat. sol. (b), 133, 425-430, 1986.

44) A. Le Mehaute, F. Heliodore, D. Cottevieille and F. Latreille, Introduction to Wave Phenomena and Uncertainty in a Fractal Space, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 3-5, 1993.

45) F. Mainardi, "Fractional Relaxation in Anelastic Solids," Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 211/212, 534-538, 1994.

46) F. Mainardi, "Fractional Relaxation-Oscillation and Fractional Diffusion-Wave Phenomena," Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 7-9, 1461-1477, 1996.

47) I. Webman, "Propagation and Trapping of Excitations on Percolation Clusters," Journal of Statistical Physics, 6-5/6, 603-614, 1984.

48) D. Matignon and B. d'Anrea-Novel, "Some Results on Controllability and Observability of Finite-Dimensional Fractional Differential Systems," Proc. of the IEEE-SMC/IMACS Symposium on Control, Optimization and Supervision, 952-956, Lille, France, 1996.

49) B. Mathieu, L. Le Lay and A. Oustaloup, "Identification of Non Integer Order Systems in the Time Domain," Proc. of the IEEE-SMC/IMACS Symposium on Control, Optimization and Supervision, Lille, France, 952-956, 1996.

50) A. Le Mehaute, "From Dissipative and to Non-dissipative Processes in Fractal Geometry: The Janals," New Journal of Chemistry, 14-3, 207-215, 1990.

51) A. Le Mehaute, "Transfer Processes in Fractal Media," Journal of Statistical Physics, 36-5/6, 665-676, 1984.

52) A. Oustaloup, "La Commande CRONE: Commande Robuste d'Ordre Non Entier," Hermes, 1991.

53) A. Oustaloup, B. Mathieu and P. Lanusse, "The CRONE Control of Resonant Plants: Application to a Flexible Transmission," European Journal of Control, 1-2, 113-121, 1995.

54) J. T. Machado, "Analysis and Design of Fractional-Order Digital Control Systems," SAMS-Journal Systems Analysis, Modelling, Simulation, 27, 107-122, 1997.

55) J. T. Machado and A. Azenha, "Position/Force Fractional Control of Mechanical Manipulators," Proc. of the IEEE Int. Workshop on Advanced Motion Control, Coimbra, Portugal, 216-220, 1998.

56) J. T. Machado, "Discrete-Time Fractional-Order Controllers", Proc. of the INES'2000-4th IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Engineering Systems, Portoroz, Slovenia, 181-184, 2000.

Name:
J. A. Tenreiro Machado

Affiliation:
Coordinator Professor, Institute of Engineering of Porto, Dept. of Electrotechnical Engineering

Address:
Rua Dr. Antonio Bernardino de Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal

Brief Biographical History:

1980- Received "Licenciatura" degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto, Portugal

1989- Received PhD degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Fuculty of Engineering of the University of Porto, Portugal

1995- Received "Agregation" degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering at the Fuculty of Engineering of the University of Porto, Portugal

Main Works:

- J.A. Tenreiro Machado, J.L. Martins de Carvalho, Alexandra M.S.F. Galhano, "Analysis of Robot Dynamics and Compensation Using Classical and Computed Torque Techniques", IEEE Trans. on Education, Vol. 36, no. 4, pp.372-379, Nov., 1993.
- J.A. Tenreiro Machado, Alexandra M.S.F. Galhano, "Benchmarking Computer Systems for Robot Control", IEEE Trans. on Education, Vol. 38, no. 3, pp.205-210, Aug., 1995.
- J.A. Tenreiro Machado, "Analysis and Design of Fractional-Order Digital Control Systems ", SAMS-Journal Systems Analysis-Modelling-Simulation, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, Vol.27, pp.107-122, 1997.
- Alexandra M.S.F. Galhano, J. A. Tenreiro Machado, "A Biomechanical Perspectice to the Kinematic Analysis of Robot Manipulators", SAMS -Journal Systems Analysis-Modelling-Simulation, Vol. 36, pp.471-484, 2000.
- J.A. Tenreiro Machado, "Discrete-Time Fractional-Order Controllers", FCAA-journal of Fractional Calculus & Applied Analysis, Vol. 4,n. 1,pp.47-66, 2001.