Abstract. Several standards appeared in recent years to formalize the metadata of learning objects, but they are still insufficient to fully describe a specialized domain. In particular, the programming exercise domain requires interdependent resources (e.g., test cases, solution programs, exercise description) usually processed by different services in the programming exercise life-cycle. Moreover, the manual creation of these resources is time-consuming and error-prone leading to what is an obstacle to the fast development of programming exercises of good quality.

This paper focuses on the definition of an XML dialect called PExIL (Programming Exercises Interoperability Language). The aim of PExIL is to consolidate all the data required in the programming exercise life-cycle, from when it is created to when it is graded, covering also the resolution, the evaluation and the feedback. We introduce the XML Schema used to formalize the relevant data of the programming exercise life-cycle. The validation of this approach is made through the evaluation of the usefulness and expressiveness of the PExIL definition. In the former we present the tools that consume the PExIL definition to automatically generate the specialized resources. In the latter we use the PExIL definition to capture all the constraints of a set of programming exercises stored in a learning objects repository.
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1 Introduction

The concept of Learning Object (LO) is fundamental for producing, sharing and reusing content in eLearning [1]. In essence a LO is a container with educational material and metadata describing it. Since most LOs just present content to students they contain documents in presentation formats such as HTML and PDF, and metadata describing these documents using Learning Objects Metadata (LOM), Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) [2] or other generic metadata
When a LO includes exercises to be automatically evaluated by an eLearning system, it must contain a document with a formal description for each exercise. The Question and Tests Interoperability (QTI) [3] is an example of a standard for this kind of definitions that is supported by several eLearning systems. However, QTI was designed for questions with predefined answers and cannot be used for complex evaluation domains such as the programming exercise evaluation [4]. A programming exercise requires a collection of files (e.g. test cases, solution programs, exercise descriptions, feedback) and special data (e.g. compilation and execution lines). These resources are interdependent and processed in different moments in the life-cycle of the programming exercise.

The life cycle comprises several phases: in the creation phase the content author should have the means to automatically create some of the resources (assets) related with the programming exercise such as the exercise description and test cases and the possibility to package and distribute them in a standard format across all the compatible systems (e.g. learning management systems, learning objects repositories); in the selection phase the teacher must be able to search for a programming exercise based on its metadata from a repository of learning objects and store a reference to it in a learning management system; in the presentation phase the student must be able to choose the exercise description in its native language and a proper format (e.g. HTML, PDF); in the resolution phase the learner should have the possibility to use test cases to test his attempt to solve the exercise and the possibility to automatically generate new ones; in the evaluation phase the evaluation engine should receive specialized metadata to properly evaluate the learner’s attempt and return enlightening feedback. All these phases require a set of inter-dependent resources and specialized metadata whose manual creation would be time-consuming and error-prone.

This paper focuses on the definition of an XML dialect called PExIL (Programming Exercises Interoperability Language). The aim of PExIL is to consolidate all the data required in the programming exercise life-cycle, from when it is created to when it is graded, covering also the resolution, the evaluation and the feedback. We introduce the XML Schema used to formalize the relevant data of the programming exercise life-cycle. The validation of this approach is made through the evaluation of the usefulness and expressiveness of the PExIL definition. In the former, we use a PExIL definition to generate several resources related to the programming exercise life-cycle (e.g. exercise descriptions, test cases, feedback files). In the latter, we check if the PExIL definition covers all the constraints of a set of programming exercises in a repository.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 traces the evolution of standards for LO metadata and packaging. In the following section we present the PExIL schema with emphasis on the definitions for the description, test cases and feedback of the programming exercise. Then, we evaluate the definition of PExIL and conclude with a summary of the main contributions of this work and a perspective of future research.
2 Learning object standards

Current LO standards are quite generic and not adequate to specific domains, such as the definition of programming exercises. The most widely used standard for LO is the IMS Content Packaging (IMS CP) [5]. This content packaging format uses an XML manifest file wrapped with other resources inside a zip file. The manifest includes the IEEE Learning Object Metadata (LOM) standard [6] to describe the learning resources included in the package. However, LOM was not specifically designed to accommodate the requirements of automatic evaluation of programming exercises. For instance, there is no way to assert the role of specific resources, such as test cases or solutions. Fortunately, IMS CP was designed to be straightforward to extend, meeting the needs of a target user community through the creation of application profiles. A well known eLearning application profile is SCORM that extends IMS CP with more sophisticated sequencing and Contents-to-LMS communication.

Following this extension philosophy, the IMS Global Learning Consortium (GLC) upgraded the Question & Test Interoperability (QTI) specification [3]. QTI describes a data model for questions and test data and, from version 2, extends the LOM with its own metadata vocabulary. QTI was designed for questions with a set of pre-defined answers, such as multiple choice, multiple response, fill-in-the-blanks and short text questions. It supports also long text answers but the specification of their evaluation is outside the scope of the QTI. Although long text answers could be used to write the program's source code, there is no way to specify how it should be compiled and executed, which test data should be used and how it should be graded. For these reasons we consider that QTI is not adequate for automatic evaluation of programming exercises, although it may be supported for sake of compatibility with some LMS. Recently, IMS GLC proposed the IMS Common Cartridge (CC) [7] that bundles the previous specifications and its main goal is to organize and distribute digital learning content.

3 PExIL

In this section we present PExIL, an XML dialect that aims to consolidate all the data required in the programming exercise life-cycle. This definition is formalized through the creation of a XML Schema. In the following subsections we present the PExIL XML Schema organized in three groups of elements:

Textual – elements with general information about the exercise to be presented to the learner. (e.g. title, date, challenge);
Specification – elements with a set of restrictions that can be used for generating specialized resources (e.g. test cases, feedback);
Programs – elements with references to programs as external resources (e.g. solution program, correctors) and metadata about those resources (e.g. compilation, execution line, hints).
3.1 Textual elements

Textual elements contain general information about the exercise to be presented to the learner. This type of elements can be used in several phases of the programming exercise life-cycle: in the selection phase as exercise metadata to aid discoverability and to facilitate the interoperability among systems (e.g. LMS, IDE); in the presentation phase as content to be present to the learner (e.g. exercise description); in the resolution phase as skeleton code to be included in the student’s project solution.

The following table presents the textual elements of the PExIL schema and identifies the phases where they are involved.

Table 1. Textual elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creation/authors/author</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creation/date</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creation/purpose</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>challenge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skeleton</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The title element represents the title of the programming exercise. This mandatory element uses the xml:lang attribute to specify the human language of the element’s content. The definition of this element in the XML Schema has the maxOccurs attribute set to unbound allowing the same information to be recorded in multiple languages. The creation element contains data on the authorship of the exercise and includes the following sub-elements: authors with information about the author(s) of the exercise organized by several author elements (represented as RDF elements¹); date which includes the date of the generation of the exercise and purpose that describes the event for which the exercise was created or the institution where the exercise will be used. The context element is an optional field used to contextualize the student with the exercise. The challenge element is the actual description of the exercise. Its content model is defined as mixed content to enable character data to appear between XHTML child-elements. This XML markup language will be used to enrich the formatting of the exercises descriptions. The skeleton element refers to a resource containing code to be included in the student’s project solution.

3.2 Specification elements

The goal of defining programming exercises as learning objects is to use them in systems supporting automatic evaluation. In order to evaluate a programming exercise the learner must submit a program in source code to an Evaluation Engine (EE) that

¹ Representing vCard Objects in RDF - W3C Member Submission 20 January 2010 - http://www.w3.org/Submission/vcard-rdf/
judges it using predefined test cases - a set of input and output data. In short, the EE compiles and runs the program iteratively using the input data (standard input) and checks if the result (standard output) corresponds to the expected output. Based on these correspondences the EE returns an evaluation report with feedback.

In the PExIL schema, the input and output top-level elements are used to describe respectively the input and the output test data. These elements include three sub-elements: description, example and specification. The description element includes a brief description of the input/output data. The example element includes a predefined example of the input/output test data file. Both elements comply with the specification element that describes the structure and content of the test data.

Table 2. Specification elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>input/specification</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>output/specification</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This definition can be used in several phases of the programming exercise life-cycle as depicted in Table 2: by 1) the content author to automatically generate an input and output test example to be included on the exercise description for presentation purposes; 2) the learner to automatically generate new test cases to validate his attempt; 3) the Evaluation Engine to evaluate a submission using the test cases.

The specification element (Fig. 1) contains two attributes and two top-level elements. The attributes line_terminator and value_separator define respectively the newline and space characters of the test data. The two top-level elements are: line which defines a test data row and repeat which defines an iteration on a set of nested elements. The number of iterations is controlled by the value of the count attribute.

Fig. 1 The specification element.

The line element defines a data row. Each row contains one or more variables. A variable in the specification model must have a unique name which is used to refer
values from one or more places in the specification element. A variable is represented in the PExIL schema with the `data` element containing the attributes:

- **id** - defines the name of the variable. To access a variable one must use the `id` attribute preceded by the character `@` to enable the further resolution and evaluation of XPath expressions while processing the specification model;
- **type** – defines the variable data type (e.g. integer, float, string, enum). In the case of an enumeration the values are presented as a text child node;
- **value** – represents the value to be included in the input/output test file. If filled the variable acts as a constant. Otherwise, the value can be automatically generated based on a set of constraints - the `type`, `min`, `max` or `spec` attributes;
- **min/max** – represents value constraints by defining limits on the values. The semantic of these attributes depends exclusively on the data type: may represent the ranges of a value (integer and float), the minimum/maximum number of characters (string) or a range of values to be selected from an enumeration list;
- **spec** - regular expression for generating/matching strings of text, such as particular characters, words, or patterns of characters.

The following XML excerpt shows the specification elements for the input and output test data of an exercise. The exercise challenge is **given three numbers to verify that the last number is between the first two**.

Example of the input test description: "The input begins with a single positive integer on a line by itself indicating the number of the cases following. This line is followed by a blank line, and there is also a blank line between two consecutive inputs. Each line of input contains three float numbers (num1, num2 and num3) ranging values between 0 and 1000."

```xml
<specification line_terminator="\n" value_separator=" ">
  <line><data id="numTestCases" type="int" value="3" /></line>
  <repeat count="$numTestCases">
    <line>
      <data id="num1" type="float" min="0" max="1000"/>
      <data id="num2" type="float" min="0" max="1000"/>
      <data id="num3" type="float" min="0" max="1000"/>
      <feedback when="$num1>$num2">
        Numbers that limit the range can be given in descending order
      </feedback>
    </line>
  </repeat>
</specification>
```

Example of the output test description: "The output must contain a boolean for each test case separated by a blank line between two consecutive outputs."

```xml
<specification line_terminator="\n" value_separator=" ">
  <repeat count="$numTestCases">
    <line><data id="result" type="enum" value="1">True False</data></line>
  </repeat>
</specification>
```
As said before, the EE is the component responsible for the assessment of an attempt to solve a particular programming exercise posted by the student. The assessment relies on predefined test cases. Whenever a test case fails a **static feedback** message (e.g. "Wrong Answer", "Time Limit Exceed", and “Execution Error”) associated with the respective test case is generated. Beyond the static feedback of the evaluator, the PExIL schema includes a **feedback** element in the **specification** element. This element defines a **dynamic feedback** message to be presented to the student based on the evaluation of an XPath expression included in the **when** attribute. This expression can include references to input and output variables or even dependencies between both. If the expression is evaluated as true then the text child node of the **feedback** element is used as the feedback message.

### 3.3 Program elements

Program elements contain references to program source files as external resources (e.g. solution program, correctors) and metadata about those resources (e.g. compilation, execution line, hints). These resources are used mostly in the evaluation phase of the programming exercise life-cycle (Table 3) to allow the EE to produce an evaluation report of a students’ attempt to solve a programming exercise.

#### Table 3. Program elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solution</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corrector</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hints</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A program element is defined with the **programType** type. This type is composed by seven attributes: **id** – an unique identifier for the resource; **language** – identifies the programming language used to code the resource (e.g. JAVA, C, C#, C++, PASCAL); **compiler/executer** – defines the name of the compiler/executer; **version** – identifies the version of the compiler; **source/object** - defines the name of the program source/object file; **compilation** – defines a command line to compile the source code; and **execution**– defines a command line to execute the compiled code:

There are two program elements in the PExIL schema: the **solution** and the **corrector** elements. The **solution** element contains a reference to the program solution file. The **corrector** element is optional and refers to custom programs that change the general evaluation pattern for a given exercise. The metadata about the program type resources is consolidated in the **hints** element aggregating a set of recommendations for the submission, compilation and execution of exercises.
4 Using PExIL

In this section we validate the PExIL definition according to: its usefulness while using the PExIL definition as input of a set of tools related to the programming exercise life-cycle (e.g. generation of a IMS CC learning object package); and its expressiveness while using the PExIL definition to capture all the constraints of a set of programming exercises in a repository (e.g. description of crimsonHex programming exercises).

4.1 Generating a IMS CC learning object package

In this subsection we validate the usefulness of the PExIL definition by detailing the generation of an IMS CC LO package based on a valid PExIL instance. An IMS CC object is a package standard that assembles educational resources and publishes them as reusable packages in any system that implements this specification (e.g. Moodle LMS).

A Generator tool (e.g. PexilUtils) uses the PExIL definition to produce a set of resources related with a programming exercise such as exercise descriptions in multiple languages or input and output test files. The LO generation is depicted in Fig. 2. The generation of a LO package is straightforward. The Generator tool uses as input a valid PExIL instance and a program solution file and generates 1) an exercise description in a given format and language, 2) a set of test cases and feedback files and 3) a valid IMS CC manifest file. Then, a validation step is performed to verify that the generated tests cases meet the specification presented on the PExIL instance and the manifest complies with the IMS CC schema. Finally, all these files are wrapped up in a ZIP file and deployed in a Learning Objects Repository. In the following sub-subsections we present with more detail these three generations.
4.1.1 Exercise description generation

For the generation of an exercise description (Fig. 3) it is important to acquire the format and the human language of the exercise description. The former is given by the Generator tool and the latter is obtained from the total number of occurrences of the xml:lang attribute in the title element of the PExIL instance.

The Generator tool receives as input a valid PExIL instance and a respective XSLT 2.0 file and uses the Saxon XSLT 2.0 processor combined with the xsl:result-document element to generate a set of .FO files corresponding to the human languages values founded in the xml:lang attribute. The following code shows an excerpt of the Pdf.xsl file. This stylesheet generates the .FO files based on the textual elements of a PExIL instance:

```xml
<xsl:template match="pexil:title">
  <xsl:variable name="uri" select="concat('desc',@xml:lang,.fo')"/>
  <xsl:result-document href="resources/{$uri}"/>
  <fo:root xmlns:fo="http://www.w3.org/1999/XSL/Format">
    <!--apply templates over the textual elements --> ...
  </fo:root>
</xsl:result-document>
</xsl:template>
```

In the next step, the .FO files are used as input to the Apache FOP formatter – an open-source and partial implementation of the W3C XSL-FO 1.0 standard – generating for each .FO file the corresponding PDF file.

![Fig. 3 Generation of the exercise descriptions.](image)

The use of the PExIL definition to generate exercise descriptions does not end here since the PExIL definition is included in the LO itself making it possible, at any time of the LO life-cycle, to regenerate the exercise description in other different formats.

The description also includes a description and an example of a test case. In the case of the absence of the input/description and input/example the Generator relies on the specification element to generate the test data and include it in the exercise description.

4.1.2 Test cases and feedback generation

The generation of test cases and feedback relies on the specification element of the PExIL definition. The Generator tool can be parameterized with a specific number
of test files to generate. Regardless of this parameter, the tool calculates the number of test cases based on the total number of variables and the number of feedback messages. In the former, the number of test cases is given by the formula $2^n$ where the base represents the number of range limits of a variable and the exponent the total number of variables. Testing the range limits of a variable is justified since their values are usually not tested by students, thus with a high risk of failure. In the latter, the tool generates a test case for each feedback message found. The generation will depend on the successful evaluation of the XPath expression included in the when attribute of the feedback element. The following example helps to understand how the Generator calculates the test cases.

```xml
<line>
  <data id="n1" type="float" min="0" max="1000"/>
  <data id="n2" type="float" min="0" max="1000"/>
  <feedback when="$num1>$num2">Numbers that …</feedback>
</line>
```

Suppose that the Generator tool is parameterized to generate 10 test cases. Using the previous example we can estimate the number of test cases and its respective input values as demonstrated in the Table 4.

Table 4. Specification elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var.</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>T5</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>T7</th>
<th>T8</th>
<th>T9</th>
<th>T10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Min=n2+1</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The test values are: eight tests to cover the range limits of all variables ($2^3 = 8$); one test to represent the constraint included in the feedback message. Note that this test case will be executed only if the expression included in the when attribute was not covered in the previous eight test cases; the remaining tests are generated randomly.

Also note that whoever is creating the programming exercise can statically define new test cases and use the PExIL definition for validation purposes.

4.1.3 Manifest generation

An IMS CC learning object assembles resources and metadata into a distribution medium, typically a file archive in ZIP format, with its content described by a manifest file named imsmanifest.xml in the root level. The main sections of the manifest are: 1) metadata which includes a description of the package, and 2) resources which contains a list of references to other resources in the archive and dependency among them. The metadata section of the IMS CC manifest comprises a hierarchy of several IEEE LOM elements organized in several categories (e.g. general, lifecycle, technical, educational). The following table presents a binding of the PExIL textual elements and the corresponding LOM elements which will be used by the Generator tool to feed the IMS CC manifest.
Table 5. Binding PExIL to IEEE LOM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Schema</th>
<th>Element path</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>lomcc:general/lomcc:title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PExIL</td>
<td>exercise/title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>lomcc:lifecycle/lomcc:contribute{lom:role='Author'}/lom:date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PExIL</td>
<td>exercise/creation/date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>lomcc:lifecycle/lomcc:contribute{lom:role='Author'}/lom:entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PExIL</td>
<td>exercise/creation/authors/author/v:VCard/v:fn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>LOM</td>
<td>lomcc:general/lomcc:coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PExIL</td>
<td>exercise/creation/purpose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By defining this set of metadata at the LOM side, eLearning systems continue to use the metadata included in the IMS CC manifest to search for programming exercises, rather than using a specialized XML dialect such as PExIL.

4.2 Describing crimsonHex programming exercises

In this subsection we validate PExIL expressiveness by using the PExIL definition to cover the requirements (e.g. the input/output constraints of the exercise) of a subset of programming exercises from a learning objects repository.

![Evaluation of PExIL expressiveness](image)

**Fig. 4** Evaluation of PExIL expressiveness.

For the evaluation process we randomly selected 24 programming exercises (1% of a total of 2393 exercises) from a specialized repository called crimsonHex [8]. We check manually if the PExIL definition covers all the constraints of the input/output data. The evaluation results, depicted in the Fig. 4, shows that in most cases (21 – 88%), PExIL was expressive enough to cover the constraints of the exercise test data. In just one case, we had to make a minor change in the PExIL definition to capture alternative content models.

Finally, two exercises were not completely covered by the PExIL definition. This means that using only the standard data types of PExIL we were able to define the input and output files, and these definitions can be used to validate them. However, these definitions cannot be used to generate a meaningful set of test data. In these cases the programming exercise author would have to produce test files by some other means (either by hand or using a custom made generator). In our opinion, the data
types required be these exercises are comparatively rare and do not justify their inclusion in the standard library. However, PExIL does not restrict data types and PexilUtils can be extended with generators for other data types, if this proves necessary.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we present PEXIL – a XML dialect for authoring LOs containing programming exercises. Nevertheless, the impact of PExIL is not confined to authoring since these documents are included in the LO itself and they contain data that can be used in its life-cycle, to present the exercise description in different formats, to regenerate test cases or to produce feedback to the student.

For evaluation purposes we validate the PExIL definition by using it as input for the generation of an IMS CC learning object package through a set of tools and by using it to capture all the constraints of a set of programming exercises stored in a learning objects repository called crimsonHex.

In its current status the PExIL schema\(^2\) is available for test and download. Our plans are to support in a near future this definition in the crimsonHex repository. We are currently finishing the development of the generator engine to produce a LO compliant with the IMS CC specification. This tool could be used as an IDE plug-in or through command line based on a valid PExIL instance and integrated in several learning scenarios where a programming exercise may fit from curricular to competitive learning.
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