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ABSTRACT

The problem of uncertainty propagation in composite laminate structures is studied. An approach based on the optimal design of composite structures to achieve a target reliability level is proposed. Using the Uniform Design Method (UDM), a set of design points is generated over a design domain centred at mean values of random variables, aimed at studying the space variability. The most critical Tsai number, the structural reliability index and the sensitivities are obtained for each UDM design point, using the maximum load obtained from optimal design search. Using the UDM design points as input/output patterns, an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is developed based on supervised evolutionary learning. Finally, using the developed ANN a Monte Carlo simulation procedure is implemented and the variability of the structural response based on global sensitivity analysis (GSA) is studied. The GSA is based on the first order Sobol indices and relative sensitivities. An appropriate GSA algorithm aiming to obtain Sobol indices is proposed. The most important sources of uncertainty are identified.
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1. Introduction

Composite materials behavior is extremely affected by numerous uncertainties that should be considered in structural design. The problem of design-based uncertainty of laminated composite structures can be formulated as an optimization problem or addressed as the problem of alleviating the effects of unavoidable parameter uncertainties. The first perspective is associated to reliability-based design optimization (RBDO) and the second one is considered in robust design optimization (RDO). Both strategies depend on uncertainty propagation analysis of composite structures response and different length scales.

Nowadays the definition of structural design criteria is based on ultimate state theory rather than on service stress theory. The application of such concepts to composite materials based on reliability analysis creates new challenges to the designer. A comprehensive review paper on RBDO developments is presented by Frangopol and Maute [1]. Recent works in RBDO applied to composite structures have been presented. Rais-Rohani and Singh [2] discuss the development of global and sequential response surface techniques for reliability-based optimization of composite structures under axial compression and buckling instability. Singh et al. [3] investigated the influence of variations of material properties on the elastic stability of laminated composite panels. Adali et al. [4] developed a model for the optimal design of composite laminates under buckling load uncertainty.

The structural tailoring technique was applied to design laminated composite structures by searching the stacking sequence that corresponds to the less sensitive performance properties relatively to uncertainties in the input parameters. This perspective follows RDO concepts where the objective is to minimize the effects of uncertainty on optimal design. The strategy is based on considering the statistical data in objective and constraint functions [5].

Although several methods have been presented for uncertainty assessment, their efficiency was not proven, in particular when applied to composite structures [6,7]. The almost totality of sensitivity analyses in applications with composite structures used local importance measures of design parameters [2–10]. In particular Rais-Rohani and Singh [2] and Carbillet et al. [9] studied the sensitivity of reliability index of composite structures with non-linear behavior and quantified the importance of the random variables using local measures. Although the innovative aspects of joint reliability and sensitivity analysis, the use of local importance measures of uncertainty propagation is limited. So, Global Sensitivity Analysis (GSA) on the uncertainty response is still unexplored, remaining an open issue.

The uncertainty propagation of composite structures is investigated in this work considering descriptive statistical measures of the response variability and sensitivity analysis of system
responses inside GSA framework [11–13]. A study based on sensitivity to uncertainty that allows selecting the important parameters using global sensitivity indices is presented. The uncertainty propagation and the importance measure of input parameters are analyzed using an Artificial Neural Network-based Monte Carlo simulation approach (ANN-MCS). The proposed methodology uses a Monte Carlo procedure together with an Artificial Neural Network surrogate model based on supervised evolutionary learning [14].

The use of approximate models in reliability analysis has been studied. In particular, ANN has been used to approximate the limit state function and its derivatives proposed a hybrid technique based on ANN in combination with genetic algorithms (GA) for structural reliability analysis [15–17]. Following a different procedure, an approach based on an ANN model simulating at the same time the limit state function, the reliability index and their sensitivities is proposed in this paper. The objective is to study the propagation of uncertainties of mechanical properties on the response of composite laminate structures (linear mechanical behavior) under an imposed reliability level. Robustness assessment of the reliability-based designed composite structures is considered and some criteria are outlined for the particular case of angle-ply laminates. The longitudinal elastic modulus $E_1$, transversal elastic modulus $E_2$, transversal strength in tensile $Y$, and shear strength $S$ are considered the ANN input variables. These are the mechanical properties with the most critical deviations on the composite laminate strength randomness, according to the numerical simulation performed by Conceição António [18] and António et al. [19]. Nevertheless, the presented study can be extended to other random variables.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the formulation of the uncertainty propagation analysis describing the main features of the ANN-MCS proposed approach, the inverse reliability analysis and the ANN developments. GSA proposed model is described in Section 3. The numerical applications are presented in Section 4 together with the discussion of the results. Finally the conclusions on the performance of the proposed approach are presented in Section 5.

2. Uncertainty propagation analysis

2.1. ANN-MC approach

The objective of the proposed approach is to study the propagation of uncertainties in input random variables, such as mechanical properties, on the response of composite laminate structures for a specified reliability level. Fig. 1 shows the proposed Artificial Neural Network based Monte Carlo simulation procedure. The proposed approach for uncertainty propagation analysis in RBDO of composite structures for the particular case of angle-ply laminates is addressed according to the following steps:

1st Step: An approach based on optimal design of composite structures to achieve a specified reliability level, $\beta_0$, is considered, and the corresponding maximum load is calculated as a function of ply angle, $\alpha$. This inverse reliability problem is solved for the mean reference values, $\bar{\xi}$, of mechanical properties of the composite laminates.

2nd Step: Using the Uniform Design Method, a set of design points belonging to the interval $[\bar{\xi}_i - \alpha \bar{\sigma}_\xi, \bar{\xi}_i + \alpha \bar{\sigma}_\xi]$ is generated, covering a domain centered at mean reference values of the random variables. This method enables a uniform exploration of the domain values necessary in the development of an ANN approximation model for variability study of the reliability index.

3rd Step: For each UDM design point, the most critical Tsai number, $R$, associated with the most probable failure point (MPP),
laminate defined from the macro-mechanical point of view [22]. The solution, $v^*$, of the reliability problem in Eq. (2) is referred to, in technical literature, as the design point or most probable failure point (MPP). The bisection method used to estimate the load factor, $k$, is iteratively used in the external loop [23]. After the minimization of the objective function given in Eq. (1), the structural reliability index is $b$, with some prescribed error, and the corresponding load vector is $L(b)$. 

2.3. ANN developments

The proposed ANN is organized into three layers of nodes (neurons): input, hidden and output layers. The linkages between input and hidden nodes and between hidden and output nodes are noted by synapses. These are weighted connections that establish the relationship between input data and output data.

In the developed ANN, the input data vector $D^{in}$ is defined by a set of values for random variables $p$, which are the mechanical properties of composite laminates, such as elastic or strength properties. Following the developments performed by Conceição António [18] and António et al. [19] only the critical mechanical properties of composite laminates are selected as ANN input data. The objective is to avoid exhaustive calculations with high computational costs. Using a modified version of the Monte Carlo analysis, the referred authors [18,19] proposed a methodology based on a parametric study of the influence of the physical properties randomness in angle-ply laminated composite strength and further the choice of the most relevant mechanical properties. The parametric study concluded that the most important properties for angle-ply laminates randomness strength are the longitudinal elastic modulus $E_1$, transversal elastic modulus $E_2$, transversal strength in tensile $Y$, and shear strength $S$. So, this mechanical properties are considered as ANN input variables and denoted by $p = [E_1, E_2, Y, S]$. Nevertheless, the presented study can be extended to other random variables.

In the proposed ANN-MC approach, each set of input values for the random variable vector $p$ is selected using the Uniform Design Method (UDM) [24]. The procedure is based on a UDM table denoted by $U_m(q)$, where $U$ is the uniform design, $n$ the number of samples, $q$ the number of levels of each input variable, and $s$ the maximum number of columns of the table. For each UDM table, there is a corresponding accessory table, which includes a recommendation of columns with minimum discrepancy for a given number of input variables. Using the UDM a set of design points belonging to the interval $[p-\Delta p; p+\Delta p]$ is generated, covering a domain centered at mean reference values of the random variables. This method enables a uniform exploration of the domain values necessary in the development of an ANN approximation model guaranteeing better results after learning procedure [25]. The corresponding output data vector $D^{out}$ contains the critical Tsai number, $R$, structural reliability index, $b$, and relative sensitivities $S_{p_i}$ of reliability index with respect to random variables. The concept of relative sensitivity [26] of the reliability index is defined as

$$S_{p_i} = \left| \frac{\partial R}{\partial p_i} \right|_{p_i}$$

and its analysis aims to compare the relative importance of input parameters on the response. Fig. 2 shows the topology of the ANN, showing the input and output parameters.

Each pattern, consisting of an input and output vector, needs to be normalized to avoid numerical error propagation during the ANN learning process [25]. The activation of the kth node of the hidden layer ($p = 1$) and output layer ($p = 2$) is obtained through sigmoid functions. The error between predefined output data and
ANN simulated results is used to supervise the learning process, which is aimed at obtaining a complete model of the process. As a set of input data are introduced to the ANN, it adapts the weights of the synapses and values of the biases to produce consistent simulated results through a process known as learning. The weights of the synapses, $W_{ij}$, and biases in the neurons at the hidden and output layers, $b_k$, are controlled during the learning process. For each set of input data and any configuration of the weight matrix and biases, a set of output results is obtained. These simulated output results are compared with the predefined values to evaluate the difference (error), which is then minimized during the learning procedure.

The adopted supervised learning process of the ANN based on a Genetic Algorithm (GA) [27] utilizes the weights of synapses and biases of neural nodes at the hidden and output layers as design variables. A binary code format is used for these variables. The number of digits of each variable can be different depending on the connection between the input-hidden layers or hidden-output layers. A GA is an optimization technique based on the survival of the fittest and natural selection theory proposed by Charles Darwin. The genetic algorithm [27] basically performs three parts: (1) coding and decoding random variables into strings; (2) evaluating the fitness of each solution string; and (3) applying genetic operators to generate the next generation of solution strings in a new population. Three basic genetic operators, namely selection, crossover, and mutation, are used in this paper. An elitist strategy based on conservation of the best-fit transfers the best-fitted solution into a new population for the next generation. Once the new population is created, the search process performed by the three genetic operators is repeated and the process continues until the average fitness of the elite group of the current generation no longer shows significant improvement over the previous generation. Further details on creating and using a genetic algorithm for ANN learning can be found in the reference [27].

3. Global sensitivity analysis

The local measures of sensitivity are not enough for a full evaluation of the influence of input parameters on structural response uncertainty [12–14]. The uncertainty analysis on response in the neighborhood of mean values of input parameters is of limited value. To obtain the influence of individual parameters on the uncertainty at the output structural response $W_m$, Global Sensitivity Analysis (GSA) techniques must be used. Global Sensitivity Analysis denotes the set of methods that consider the whole variation range of inputs and tries to share the output response uncertainty among the input parameters.

3.1. Global variance-based method

Among GSA techniques the variance-based methods are the most appropriate [12,13,28]. GSA studies the effects of input variations on model outputs in the entire allowable ranges of the input space. Global Sensitivity Analysis (GSA) has an advantage over local sensitivity analysis in that GSA does not require strong model assumptions such as linearity or monotonicity [13,28]. However, its application for composite structures is complex and expensive from the computational point of view. In this work the variance-based methods is applied to a group of input parameters namely the physical properties of composites and then compared with local importance measures.

$$S_i = \frac{\text{var}(E(y|X_i))}{\text{var}(E(y))}$$

3.2. GSA evaluation using Monte Carlo simulation

One of the problems using global sensitivity indices is the computational cost. Due to the large number of input parameters in the uncertainty propagation analysis on composite structures, Finite Element Method evaluations become very expensive. In this work the ANN-based Monte Carlo simulation approach is used for the estimation of GSA indices. To reduce the computational costs the
The proposed methodology is based on the following algorithm [14]:

1. **Analysis of Structural Response Variability**

   - **1st Step**: Let $p$ groups of non-correlated input parameters $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_p)$ follow a normal distribution $N$ with mean $\bar{\pi_i}$ and standard deviation $\sigma_i$, represented by $\pi_i \sim N(\bar{\pi_i}, \sigma_i)$.
   - **2nd Step**: Consider a set of random numbers, $\Gamma_m = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N)$, following a standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$. These random numbers are used to generate the fixed values of the input parameter $\pi_i$.
   - **3rd Step**: For each input parameter $\pi_{ij}$, a sample matrix is generated by independently collecting samples of $(p-1)$ random numbers following a normal distribution $N(0,1)$.

   \[
   \begin{bmatrix}
   \alpha_{1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,p-1} \\
   \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
   \alpha_{N,p-1} & \cdots & \alpha_{N,p-1}
   \end{bmatrix}
   \]

   where the size of the sample is $N_r$.

2. **End Repeat for Each Input Parameter**

   - **4th Step**: Repeat for each input parameter $\pi_i$, $i = 1 \rightarrow p$
   - **5th Step**: Consider the Sobol first-order sensitivity index.

   \[
   E(\Psi_m|\pi_i) = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} \Psi_m(\pi_i^k)
   \]

   Evaluation of the structural response: $\Psi_m(\pi_i^k)$, the vector $\pi_i^k$ being the nominal values of $\pi$, with components $\pi_{ij}^k$.

   **End Do**

3. **Estimate the Conditional Expectation of Structural Response Function**

   \[
   E(\Psi_m|\pi_i) \approx \overline{\Psi}_m = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} \Psi_m(\pi_i^k)
   \]

   **End Do**

4. **Estimate the Mean Value**

   \[
   \overline{\Psi}_m = \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} \Psi_m
   \]

   Estimation of the variance of the conditional expectation of structural response, fixing the input parameter $\pi_i$.

   \[
   \text{var}(E(\Psi_m|\pi_i)) = \frac{1}{N_r - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} (\Psi_m^k - \overline{\Psi}_m)^2
   \]

4. Numerical Examples

4.1. Clamped Cylindrical Shell

To test the proposed approach applied to composite structures, a clamped cylindrical shell laminated structure is considered, as shown in Fig. 3. Nine vertical loads with mean value $L_k$ are applied along the free linear side (AB) of the structure. This free linear side (AB) is constrained in the y-axis direction. The structure is made of one laminate. The balanced angle-ply laminates with eight layers and stacking sequence $[-\theta/\theta/\theta/\theta/\theta/\theta/\theta/\theta]$ are considered in a symmetric construction. Ply angle, $\theta$, is referenced to the x-axis of the reference coordinate, as detailed in Fig. 3. All plies have a thickness of $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m.

The structural analysis of laminated composite structures is based on the finite element method (FEM) and shell finite element model developed by Ahmad [30], and includes improvements from Figueiras [31]. The Ahmad shell element is obtained from a 3-D finite element using a degenerative procedure. It is an isoparametric element with eight nodes and five degrees of freedom per node, as described by Mindlin shell theory.

The laminate is made of a carbon/epoxy composite system [22]. The mean reference values of the elastic and strength properties of the ply material used in the laminate construction of the composite structure are given in Table 1. The elastic constants of the orthotropic ply are the longitudinal elastic modulus, $E_l$; transversal elastic modulus, $E_t$; in-plane shear modulus, $G_{yz}$; out-of-plane shear modulus, $G_{xz}$ and $G_{yz}$; and in-plane Poisson’s ratio, $\nu_{yz}$. The ply strength properties are the longitudinal strength in tension, $X$; longitudinal strength in compression, $X’$; transversal strength in tension, $Y$; transversal strength in compression, $Y’$; and shear strength, $S$.
To assess reliability, the longitudinal elastic modulus, $E_L$, transverse elastic modulus, $E_T$, transversal strength in tensile, $Y$, and shear strength, $S$, are the considered random variables and denoted by $p = [E_L, E_T, Y, S]$. All random variables are non-correlated, and follow a normal probability distribution function defined by their respective mean and standard deviation. The present study can be further extended to other random variables. To obtain the maximum reference load, the inverse RBDO problem defined in Eq. (1) is solved. The structural reliability index is $b_i\phi b_i$, with some prescribed error, and the corresponding maximum load vector, $L(b_i)$, can be obtained. The reliability assessment follows the procedure described in Eqs. (2)–(6). A target reliability index $b_i=3$ for the composite structure is considered. The mean values of the mechanical properties are assumed to be random variables and are defined in Table 1, and the coefficient of variation of each random variable is set to $CV(p) = 6\%$, relative to the mean value.

The Most Probable failure Point (MPP) values are obtained based on the Hasofer–Lind method. After obtaining these values, the inverse RBDO, formulated in Eq. (1), is solved for $b_i = 3$ and the maximum load is outlined depending on ply angle, $a$. The corresponding maximum load is plotted as a function of ply angle, $a$, and shown in Fig. 4. This load is used as the reference load for further uncertainty propagation analysis in the ANN-MCS and supported by UDM and GA developments.

The UDM points are considered as experimental input values to be used in the ANN learning procedure. A number of 27 training data sets is selected inside the interval $[p_i, 0.06p_i; 0.06p_i, p_i]$, with mean reference value $p_i$ set as a random variable for each mechanical property and defined in Table 1.

In Uniform Design Method (UDM) originally proposed by Fang et al. [24] a set of design points is generated over a domain centered at mean values of random variables, aimed at studying the space variability. Obtaining points that are most uniformly scattered in the $s$-dimensional unit cube $C$ is the key of UDM, which is based on a quasi-Monte Carlo method. In this context, the discrepancy is used as a measure of uniformity that is universally accepted. As referred in Section 2.3, for each UDM table there is a corresponding accessory table, which includes a recommendation of columns with minimum discrepancy for a given number of input variables.

The UDM values are selected according to the approach proposed by Cheng et al. [16]. After selecting Table $U_\text{LD}(27^s)$ of the UDM [16], where columns 1, 4, 6 and 9 must be selected according to the respective accessory Table for four variables and discrepancy $W_0n, \theta = 0.1189$, the resulting integer code format is presented in Table 2. The UDM table must be transformed into a hyper-rectangle region corresponding to the input variable domain by linear transformation. Then the interval $[p_i, 0.06p_i; 0.06p_i, p_i]$ is equally discretized with 27 points and, using the integer code format from Table 2, the actual composition for $p = [E_L, E_T, Y, S]$ is obtained [25].

Reliability analysis is performed for the input values from Table 2 and 27 input/output patterns are obtained and used in ANN development. For each UDM design point, the most critical Tsai number, $\tau$, associated with the most probable failure point (MPP): reliability index of structure, $b_i$; and relative sensitivities are obtained by using the maximum load previously calculated for each ply angle, $a$ considering the respective domain, as a reference and solving the inverse RBDO formulation of Eq. (1). A fixed standard deviation $r_p = 0.06p_i$, is used in the reliability index evaluation for all UDM design points, based on Hasofer–Lind method. The sensitivities are calculated based on the adjoint variable method [18,20].

A number of 10 neurons are considered for the hidden layer of the ANN topology. The ANN learning process is formulated as an optimization problem with 116 design variables corresponding to

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$E_L$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$E_T$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{12}$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\pi$</th>
<th>$X$: $\sigma^2$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$Y$: $\sigma^2$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$S$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$q$ (kg/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T300/N52208</td>
<td>181.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design point</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Maximum load for $b_i = 3$, solving the inverse RBDO problem for clamped composite shell.
100 weights of synapses and 16 biases of neural nodes [25]. The ANN-based GA learning process is performed using a population of 21 individuals/solutions. The elite and mutation groups have 7 and 4 solutions, respectively [27]. The binary code format with 5 digits is adopted for both designing the values of the weights of synapses and biases of neural nodes at the hidden and output layers. The learning process is concluded after 15,000 generations of the GA. The mean values in Table 1 (point 14 of UDM Table 2) are used for ANN testing. The relative errors in learning and testing processes corresponding to the optimal ANN are less than 1%.

Using the proposed ANN-MCS approach 5000 simulations are obtained aiming to analyze the behavior of structural response parameters as the critical Tsai number, the reliability index and its relative sensitivities. An example of the implemented analysis is shown in Fig. 5 with the relative sensitivities of the reliability index \( b \), calculated for ply angle \( a = 60^\circ \). The histograms show that the response do not follow a Normal probability distribution function.

Descriptive statistics of the structural response parameters calculated for ply angle \( a = 60^\circ \) are presented in Table 3. Supported by the statistical analysis it can be concluded that all response parameters as the critical Tsai number, the reliability index and its relative sensitivities indices must be considered for robust design of composite structures.

Fig. 7 shows the interval of variation for the relative sensitivities obtained from Eq. (7). The objective is to compare the relative importance of the input parameters on structural response, in particular for the inverse RBDO solutions. The reliability index \( b_a \), is very sensitive to transversal strength, \( Y \), over the entire domain of angle \( a \) except for \( a = 45^\circ \). The sensitivity relative to the shear strength, \( S \), is high for ply angle values equal to 45° and 60°. The relative sensitivities of other parameters as longitudinal elastic modulus and transversal elastic modulus are not so important.

The global variance-based method proposed in Section 3.2 and ANN-based Monte Carlo simulation is applied to the same shell structure shown in Fig. 1 with all laminates built using the CFRP, T300/NS208 composite system. Then, let us consider the vector of input parameter \( p = [E_1, E_2, Y, S] \) following a normal distribution \( N \) with mean \( \mu \) and standard deviation \( \sigma \), represented by \( p \sim N(\mu, \sigma) \). In particular the statistical values of non-correlated input parameters are:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 & \sim (181,000, 10.860) \text{ GPa} \\
E_2 & \sim N(10200, 0.618) \text{ GPa} \\
Y & \sim N(46,000, 2.400) \text{ MPa} \\
S & \sim N(6800, 0.630) \text{ MPa}
\end{align*}
\]

The formulation presented in Section 3.2 is implemented for critical Tsai number \( R \) and reliability index \( b_a \), denoted here by \( W_a \), and using the above mechanical properties as input parameters. To provide the fraction of the global variance \( \text{var}(W_a) \) due to each input parameter and further to calculated the respective importance measure the global Sobol first-order sensitivity index defined in Eq. (16) is used. This means that the global variance \( \text{var}(W_a) \) is explained by the contribution of partial variances as defined in Section 3.1.

![Fig. 5. Histograms of relative sensitivities of the reliability index \( b \), with respect to \( p = [E_1, E_2, Y, S] \) for clamped composite shell and ply angle, \( a = 60^\circ \).](image-url)
Table 3
Descriptive statistics of the structural response parameters, using data from the ANN-based MCS approach for clamped composite shell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle = 60°</th>
<th>Tsai number</th>
<th>Reliability index</th>
<th>Rel. sensi. of reliab. index to $E_1$</th>
<th>Rel. sensi. of reliab. index to $E_2$</th>
<th>Rel. sensi. of reliab. index to $Y$</th>
<th>Rel. sensi. of reliab. index to $S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.154</td>
<td>2.959</td>
<td>1.671</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>1.852</td>
<td>5.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>1.935</td>
<td>1.133</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>1.429</td>
<td>3.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>3.873</td>
<td>2.465</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>2.475</td>
<td>7.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Box Plot of Tsai_number grouped by Angle](image1)

![Box Plot of Reliability grouped by Angle](image2)

Fig. 6. Box plot of the critical Tsai number $R$, and reliability index $b$, for clamped composite shell on ply angle domain.

For the Monte Carlo simulation algorithm proposed in Section 32, the size samples are defined as follows:

- A set of random numbers, $N_r = 50$, following a normal distribution $N(0, 1)$ to generate the fixed values of input parameters.
- A sample matrix $M_r$ with dimension $N_r \times (p - 1) = 100 \times 3$ to simulate the non-fixed input parameters.

A total of five thousand simulations was considered in Monte Carlo simulations ($N_r \times N_r$) to estimate the variance of conditional expectation of structural response $\text{var}(E(Y|\pi))$ according to Eq. (13). The simulation process is implemented for each input parameter $\pi$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and the global variance $\text{var}(\Psi_m)$ can be estimated from the twenty thousand simulations following Eq. (15).

An important aspect of the present work is to study the influence of anisotropy in the uncertainty propagation on structural response. Then, CSA is implemented as a function of ply angle $\alpha$. Figs. 8 and 9 show the global variance $\text{var}(\Psi_m)$ explained by Sobol first-order sensitivity index $S_i$.

$$S_i = \frac{\text{var}(E(Y|\pi_i))}{\text{var}(\Psi_m)} \times 100 \% \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

evaluated for input parameter vector $\pi = [E_1, E_2, Y, S]$ and considering the critical Tsai number $R$ and reliability index $b$, as $\Psi_m$ response functionally.

The aim of this modeling is to rank the input parameters according to variance response measure. Input parameters with higher contribution for conditional variance $\text{var}(E(\Psi_m|\pi))$ will have higher sensitivity index $S_i$ taken as the global uncertainty importance measure of the input parameter $\pi_i$.

It is evident from Figs. 8 and 9 that the most important input parameter along ply angle domain is the transversal strength group $Y$ except for a short interval [45°, 60°] where the shear strength $S$ are the most important.

The shear strength contributes for global variance $\text{var}(R)$ along whole domain of ply angle $\alpha$. However, this contribution does not appear for global variance $\text{var}(b_x)$ except for a short interval [45°, 60°] as previously referred.

The transversal elastic modulus $E_2$ has an important contribution to the global variance $\text{var}(R)$ over the ply angle interval [30°, 90°] rather than this importance computed as a fraction of the global variance $\text{var}(b_x)$ is relevant only in the interval [30°, 45°]. The longitudinal elastic modulus $E_1$ has a marginal contribution to the global variance of both responses functional for ply angle equal to 30°.

An interesting comparison can be established between the results obtained from the relative sensitivities as defined in Eq. (7) and the ones obtained using the Sobol sensitivity indices. The relative sensitivities of the reliability index $b$ are obtained directly from ANN-MCS analysis considering 5000 simulations. Then, the mean values of relative sensitivities are obtained for each component of $\pi$ and the fractions of the contribution for the total value are calculated. This procedure is repeated for each ply angle value and the results are presented in Fig. 10. A comparison with results shown in Fig. 9 reveals that the most important differences are observed in the contribution of the longitudinal elastic modulus $E_1$.

42. Aircraft wing

Let us consider an aircraft wing-like composite panel as shown in Fig. 11. The panel thickness is equal to 0.015 m. The structure is clamped along linear side (AB) and free along opposite side. One vertical load with perpendicular direction relatively to ONY plan is applied on point C. The structure is built by one laminate made of a carbon/epoxy composite system with mechanical properties defined in Table 1. A balanced angle-ply laminate with eight layers and stacking sequence [-a/ +a/ -a/ +45°/-45°], is considered in a symmetric construction. Ply angle $a$, is referenced to the $x$-axis of...
the reference coordinate, as detailed in Fig. 11. All plies have same thickness.

The same shell finite element referred in previous example is used here for structural analysis. To assess reliability the previously described procedure in Eq. (2)–(6) is applied considering the vector of random variables \( p = [E_1, E_2, Y, S] \). The target reliability index is \( b_a = 3 \) and the coefficient of variation of each random variable is set to \( CV(p) = 6\% \), relatively to the mean value. The corresponding maximum load is plotted in Fig. 12 and it is used as the reference load for further development of the ANN supported by UDM and GA-based learning procedure.

The ANN is developed using the same UDM points defined in Table 2. After obtaining the new optimal ANN for aircraft wing-like composite panel, the uncertainty propagation analysis is per-
formed based on the procedure defined in Section 3.2. A set of random numbers, $N=50$, following a normal distribution $N(0, 1)$ and a sample matrix $M$ with dimension $N_X(p \cdot 1) = 100 \times 3$ are used in GSA algorithm for a total of twenty thousand simulations following Eq. (15). The GSA is implemented and the Sobol first-order sensitivity index $S_i$ is calculated as a function of ply angle, $a$. Figs. 13 and 14 show the contribution of each random variable for global variance $\text{var}(W_a)$ using two responses functions of the composite structure. The Sobol first-order sensitivity index [11,29] is used as importance measure and the contribution is represented as a fraction of the total values at each ply angle. Fig. 13 plots the results for structural response analysis based on critical Tsai number $R$. Similar analysis is performed using the reliability index $b$, as response functional of the structure and plotted in Fig. 14.

The most important random variable in global variance explanation of $R$ is the transversal strength $Y$ for whole domain of ply angle as shown in Fig. 13. Also the shear strength $S$ is important in interval $[15°, 45°]$. The longitudinal elastic modulus $E_1$ has relevant importance in interval $[45°, 75°]$ and the elastic transversal modulus $E_2$ is important for whole domain of ply angle $a$, except for $75°$. Analyzing the results plotted in Fig. 14 it can be concluded that the most important random variable to explain global variance $\text{var}(b)$ is the transversal strength $Y$ except for ply angle $a$ equal to $30°$ where the shear strength $S$ is the most important. Furthermore, the shear strength has important contribution to explain $\text{var}(b)$ in the interval $[15°, 45°]$. The balanced contribution of the four random variables $p = [E_1, E_2, Y, S]$ for ply angle $a$ equal to $45°$ is another relevant observation.

The global variance of critical Tsai number $R$ and of the reliability index $b$, can be explained by Sobol indices in different manner when the ply angle $a \in [15°, 45°]$. Since $R$ is associated to a deterministic analysis and $b$ is associated to a probabilistic analysis of
failure a different behavior in uncertainty propagation was expected.

4. Conclusions

The problem of uncertainty propagation in RBDO of composite laminate structures was studied. In particular, the effects of mechanical property deviations from the RBDO results were analyzed. The proposed ANN-based MCS approach shown that variations in the mean values of mechanical properties propagate and are even amplified in reliability index results in RBDO of composite structures. The objective of the proposed approach is to evaluate the variance of the structural response based on sensitivity indices, identifying the most important sources of uncertainty and to reduce the large number of input parameters involved in uncertainty analysis of laminated composite structures. In particular normalized indices can be established using the conditional expectation as named Sobol first-order sensitivity indices.

A study of the anisotropy influence on uncertainties propagation of composites is carried out based on the proposed methodologies. The study proves that the variability of the structural response as a function of uncertainty of the mean values can be very high. This high variability is also corroborated by evaluated relative sensitivity measures. These aspects must be considered for robust design since high structural response variability may induce a drastic reduction in the quality of the optimal design solutions for composite structures.

Based on the numerical results, the importance of measuring input parameters on structural response are established and discussed as a function of the anisotropy of composite materials. Some difference was found depending on a deterministic or a probabilistic analysis of structural failure. The uncertainty analysis propagation is very useful in designing laminated composite structures minimizing the unavoidable effects of input parameter uncertainties on structural reliability.
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Fig. 14. Global variance varh, explained by Sobol first-order sensitivity index S, for input parameters p = [E1, E2, Y, S], aircraft wing-like composite panel.